

REFERENCES

- Aalberg, T., Esser, F., Reinemann, C., Strömbäck, J., & de Vreese, C. (Eds.), (2017). *Populist political communication in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Agarwal, A., Singh, R., & Toshniwal, D. (2018). Geospatial sentiment analysis using Twitter data for UK-EU referendum. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 39(1), 303–317.
- Akerman, T., Lange, S. L. d., & Rooduijn, M. (Eds.), (2016). *Radical Right-Wing populist parties in Western Europe: Into the mainstream?* Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge.
- Al-garadi, M. A., Varathan, K. D., & Ravana, S. D. (2016). Cybercrime detection in online communications: The experimental case of cyberbullying detection in the Twitter network. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 433–443.
- Almond, G. A., & Verba, S. (1963). *The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Alvares, C., & Dahlgren, P. (2016). Populism, extremism and media: Mapping an uncertain terrain. *European Journal of Communication*, 31(1), 46–57.
- Ang, I. (1991). *Living room wars: Rethinking media audiences for a postmodern world*. New York, NY; London: Routledge.
- Anstead, N., & O'Loughlin, B. (2015). Social media analysis and public opinion: The 2010 UK General Election. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 20(2), 204–220.
- Antony, M. G., & Thomas, R. J. (2010). ‘This is citizen journalism at its finest’: YouTube and the public sphere in the Oscar Grant shooting incident. *New Media & Society*, 12(8), 1280–1296.
- Arda, B. (2015). The construction of a new sociality through social media: The case of the Gezi uprising in Turkey. *Conjunctions. Transdisciplinary Journal of Cultural Participation*, 2(1), 72–99.

- Badawy, A., Ferrara, E., & Lerman, K. (2018). Analyzing the digital traces of political manipulation: The 2016 Russian interference Twitter campaign. Paper presented at the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM).
- Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, 5, 1130–1132. doi:[10.1126/science.aaa1160](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160).
- Barberá, P., Jost, J. T., Nagler, J., Tucker, J. A., & Bonneau, R. (2015). Tweeting from left to right: Is online political communication more than an echo chamber? *Psychological Science*, 26(10), 1531–1542.
- Barnard, S. R. (2012). Twitter and the journalistic field: How the Growth of a new(s) Medium is transforming journalism. *PhD*. The University of Missouri, Missouri.
- Bastos, M. T., & Mercea, D. (2019). The Brexit Botnet and user-generated hyperpartisan news. *Social Science Computer Review*, 37(1), 38–54.
- Baviera, T., Peris, À., & Cano-Orón, L. (2019). Political candidates in infotainment programmes and their emotional effects on Twitter: An analysis of the 2015 Spanish general elections pre-campaign season. *Contemporary Social Science*, 14(1), 144–156.
- Bekafigo, M. A., & McBride, A. (2013). Who tweets about politics? Political participation of Twitter users during the 2011 gubernatorial elections. *Social Science Computer Review*, 31(5), 625–643.
- Birenbaum, G., & Villa, M. (2003). The media and neo-populism in France. In G. Mazzoleni, J. Stewart, & B. Horsfield (Eds.), *The media and neo-populism: A contemporary comparative analysis* (pp. 45–67). Westport: Praeger Publishers.
- Blassnig, S., Ernst, N., Engesser, S., Esser, F., Davis, R., & Taras, D. (2020). Populism and social media popularity: How populist communication benefits political leaders on Facebook and Twitter. In D. Taras & R. Davis (Eds.), *Power shift? Political leadership and social media* (pp. 97–111). New York, NY; Oxon: Routledge.
- Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent Dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 993–1022.
- Block, E., & Negrine, R. (2017). The populist communication style: Toward a critical framework. *International Journal of Communication*, 11(20), 178–197.

- Bode, L., & Dalrymple, K. E. (2016). Politics in 140 characters or less: Campaign communication, network interaction, and political participation on Twitter. *Journal of Political Marketing*, 15(4), 311–332.
- Bogen, K. W., Millman, C., Huntington, F., & Orchowski, L. M. (2018). A qualitative analysis of disclosing sexual victimization by# NotOkay during the 2016 presidential election. *Violence and Gender*, 5(3), 174–181.
- Bonacchi, C., Altaweel, M., & Krzyzanska, M. (2018). The heritage of Brexit: Roles of the past in the construction of political identities through social media. *Journal of Social Archaeology*, 18(2), 174–192.
- Bonikowski, B. (2016). Three lessons of contemporary populism in Europe and the United States. *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 23(9), 9–24.
- Bonikowski, B. (2017). Ethno-nationalist populism and the mobilization of collective resentment. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 68(S1), S181–S213. doi:[10.1111/1468-4446.12325](https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12325)
- Boomgaarden, H. G., Schuck, A. R. T., Elenbaas, M., & Vreese, C. H. d. (2011). Mapping EU attitudes: Conceptual and empirical dimensions of Euroscepticism and EU support. *European Union Politics*, 12(2), 241–266.
- Bossetta, M., Segesten, A. D., & Trenz, H.-J. (2018). Political participation on Facebook during Brexit: Does user engagement on media pages stimulate engagement with campaigns?. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 17(2), 173–194.
- Boutyline, A., & Willer, R. (2017). The social structure of political echo chambers: Variation in ideological homophily in online network. *Political Psychology*, 38(3), 551–569.
- Bracciale, R., & Martella, A. (2017). Define the populist political communication style: The case of Italian political leaders on Twitter. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(9), 1310–1329.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Brena, G., Brambilla, M., Ceri, S., Giovanni, M. D., Pierri, F., & Ramponi, G. (2019). News sharing user behaviour on Twitter: A comprehensive data collection of news articles and social interactions. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media.

- Bright, J. (2018). Explaining the emergence of echo chambers on social media: the role of ideology and extremism. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 23(1), 17–33.
- Broniatowski, D. A., Jamison, A. M., Qi, S., Alkulaib, L., Chen, T., Benton, A., ... Dredze, M. (2018). Weaponized health communication: Twitter bots and Russian trolls amplify the vaccine debate. *American journal of public health*, 108(10), 1378–1384.
- Bruns, A., & Highfield, T. (2013). Political networks on Twitter: Tweeting the queensland state election. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(5), 667–691.
- Ceron, A., Curini, L., Iacus, S. M., & Porro, G. (2014). Every tweet counts? How sentiment analysis of social media can improve our knowledge of citizens' political preferences with an application to Italy and France. *New Media & Society*, 16(2), 340–358.
- Coesmans, R., & Cock, B. D. (2017). Self-reference by politicians on Twitter: Strategies to adapt to 140 characters. *Journal of Pragmatics*. 116, 37–50.
- Colleoni, E., Rozza, A., & Arvidsson, A. (2014). Echo chamber or public sphere? Predicting political orientation and measuring political homophily in Twitter using big data. *Journal of Communication*, 64(2), 317–332.
- Corbett, S. (2016). The social consequences of Brexit for the UK and Europe: Euroscepticism, populism, Nationalism, and societal division. *International Journal of Social Quality*, 6(1), 11–31.
- Cox, J. B. (2013). Twitter, Facebook, blogs, and media-sharing sites in the classroom. In K. Langmia, T. C. M. Tyree, P. O'Brien, & I. Sturgis (Eds.), *Social media: Pedagogy and practice* (pp. 21–42). Lanham, MD; Plymouth: Library of Congress Control.
- Cox, M. (2017). The rise of populism and the crisis of globalisation: Brexit, Trump and beyond. *Irish Studies in International Affairs*, 28, 9–17.
- Cuperus, R. (2007). *Populism against globalisation: A new European revolt rethinking immigration and integration: A new centre left agenda* (pp. 147–165) London: Policy Network.
- Curran, G. (2004). Mainstreaming populist discourse: The race-conscious legacy of neo-populist parties in Australia and Italy. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 38(1), 37–55. doi:[10.1080/0031322032000185578](https://doi.org/10.1080/0031322032000185578)

- Dang-Xuan, L., Stieglitz, S., Wladarsch, J., & Neuberger, C. (2013). An investigation of influentials and the role of sentiment in political communication on Twitter during election periods. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(5), 795–825.
- Deacon, D., & Wring, D. (2016). The UK Independence Party, populism and the British news media: Competition, collaboration or containment? *European Journal of Communication*, 31(2), 169–184.
- Del Vicario, M., Zollo, F., Caldarelli, G., Scala, A., & Quattrociocchi, W. (2017). Mapping social dynamics on Facebook: The Brexit debate. *Social Networks*, 50, 6–16.
- Dubois, E., & Blank, G. (2018). The echo chamber is overstated: The moderating effect of political interest and diverse media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 21(5), 729–745. doi:[10.1080/1369118X.2018.1428656](https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1428656)
- Dunleavy, P., & Taylor, R. (2017). The 2017 audit of UK democracy. Democratic Audit.
- Eichenberg, R. C., & Dalton, R. J. (1993). Europeans and the European community: The dynamics of public support for European integration. *International Organization*, 47(4), 507–534.
- Ekström, M., Patrona, M., & Thornborrow, J. (2018). Right-wing populism and the dynamics of style: A discourse-analytic perspective on mediated political performances. *Palgrave Communications*, 4(1), 1–11.
- Ellison, N., & Hardey, M. (2013). Developing political conversations? Social media and English local authorities. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(6), 878–898.
- Elmer, G. (2013). Live research: Twittering an election debate. *New Media & Society*, 15(1), 18–30. doi:[10.1177/1461444812457328](https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812457328)
- Engesser, S., Ernst, N., Esser, F., & Büchel, F. (2017). Populism and social media: How politicians spread a fragmented ideology. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(8), 1109–1126.
- Enli, G. S., & Skogerboe, E. (2013). Personalised campaigns in party-centred politics: Twitter and Facebook as arenas for political communication. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(5), 757–774.

- Ernst, N., Engesser, S., Büchel, F., Blassnig, S., & Esser, F. (2017). Extreme parties and populism: An analysis of Facebook and Twitter across six countries. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(9), 1347–1364.
- Evans, M. S. (2014). A computational approach to qualitative analysis in large textual datasets. *PLOS One*, 9(2)e87908.
- Evans, H., & Evans, H. (2016). Do women only talk about “female issues”? Gender and issue discussion on Twitter. *Online Information Review*, 40(5), 660–672.
- Evolvi, G. (2019). # Islamexit: Inter-group antagonism on Twitter. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(3), 386–401.
- Fanoulis, E., & Guerra, S. (2017). Anger and protest: Referenda and opposition to the EU in Greece and the United Kingdom. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 30(4), 305–324. doi:[10.1080/09557571.2018.1431766](https://doi.org/10.1080/09557571.2018.1431766)
- Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117–140.
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Figenschou, T. U., & Beyer, A. (2014). The limits of the debate: How the Oslo terror shook the Norwegian immigration debate. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 19(4), 430–452.
- Figenschou, T. U., & Ihlebæk, K. A. (2019). Challenging journalistic authority. *Journalism Studies*, 20(9), 1221–1237. doi:[10.1080/1461670X.2018.150086](https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2018.150086)
- Figenschou, T. U., & Thorbjørnsrud, K. (2017). Disruptive media events: Managing mediated dissent in the aftermath of terror. *Jouranalism Practice*, 11(8), 942–959.
- Flaxman, S. R., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2013). Ideological segregation and the Effects of social media on news consumption SSRN 2363701. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/768e/b9576a9a478c95e8ed3434ea4752c4098aa7.pdf>
- Flynn, M. (2019, March 19th, 2019). No one who watched New Zealand shooter’s video live reported it to Facebook, company says. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2019/03/19/>

[new-zealand-mosque-shooters-facebook-live-stream-was-viewed-thousands-times-before-being-removed/](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jan/23/new-zealand-mosque-shooters-facebook-live-stream-was-viewed-thousands-times-before-being-removed/)

Flyvbjerg, B. (2001). *Making social science matter: Why social science inquiry has failed and how it can succeed again*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ford, R., & Goodwin, M. (2017). Britain after Brexit: A nation divided. *Journal of Democracy*, 28(1), 17–30.

Franch, F. (2013). (Wisdom of the crowds) 2: 2010 UK election prediction with social media. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 10(1), 57–71. doi:[10.1080/19331681.2012.705080](https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2012.705080)

Freedon, M. (2017). After the Brexit referendum: Revisiting populism as an ideology. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 22(1), 1–11.

Galston, W. A. (2018). *Anti-pluralism: The populist threat to liberal democracy*. New Haven, CT; London: Yale University Press.

Garrett, R. K. (2009a). Echo chambers online?: Politically motivated selective exposure among Internet news users. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 14, 265–285. doi:[10.1111/j.1083-6101.2009.01440.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2009.01440.x)

Garrett, R. K. (2009b). Politically motivated reinforcement seeking: Reframing the selective exposure debate. *Journal of Communication*, 59, 676–699.

Gelashvili, T. (2018). Hate speech on social media: Implications of private regulation and governance gaps. Lund University, Lund. Retrieved from <http://lup.lub.lu.se/luur/download?func=downloadFile&recordId=8952399&fileId=8952403>

Gerbaudo, P. (2014). Populism 2.0: Social media activism, the generic Internet user and interactive direct democracy. In D. Trottier & C. Fuchs (Eds.), *Social media, politics and the state* (pp. 79–99). London; New York, NY: Routledge.

Gerbaudo, P. (2018). Social media and populism: An elective affinity? *Media, Culture & Society*, 40(5), 745–753. doi:[10.1177/0163443718772192](https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443718772192)

Gerber, E. R., Henry, A. D., & Lubell, M. (2013). Political homophily and collaboration in regional planning networks. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(3), 598–610.

Gilbert, E., Bergstrom, T., & Karahalios, K. (2009). Blogs are echo chambers: Blogs are echo chambers. Paper presented at the 2009 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii.

- Golbeck, J., Grimes, J. M., & Rogers, A. (2010). Twitter use by the U.S. Congress. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(8), 1612–1621.
- Goldie, D., Linick, M., Jabbar, H., & Lubienski, C. (2014). Using bibliometric and social media analyses to explore the “echo chamber” hypothesis. *Educational Policy*, 28(2), 281–305.
- Gonzalez-Polledo, E. (2016). Chronic media worlds: Social media and the problem of pain communication on Tumblr. *Social Media + Society*, 2(1). doi: [10.1177/2056305116628887](https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116628887)
- Goodwin, M. J., & Heath, O. (2016). The 2016 referendum, Brexit and the left behind: An aggregate-level analysis of the result. *The Political Quarterly*, 87(3), 323–332.
- Gorodnichenko, Y., Pham, T., & Talavera, O. (2018). Social media, sentiment and public opinions: Evidence from #Brexit and #USElection. National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from <https://rahwebdav.swan.ac.uk/repec/pdf/wp2018-01.pdf>
- Graham, T., Broersma, M., Hazelhoff, K., & Haar, G. v. t. (2013). Between broadcasting political messages and interacting with voters: The use of Twitter during the 2010 UK General Election campaign. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(5), 692–716.
- Graham, T., Jackson, D., & Broersma, M. (2016). New platform, old habits? Candidates’ use of Twitter during the 2010 British and Dutch general election campaigns. *New Media & Society*, 18(5), 765–783.
- Grant, W. J., Moon, B., & Grant, J. B. (2010). Digital dialogue? Australian politicians’ use of the social network tool Twitter. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 45(4), 579–604.
- Grčar, M., Cherepnalkoski, D., Mozetič, I., & Novak, P. K. (2017). Stance and influence of Twitter users regarding the Brexit referendum. *Computational Social Networks*, 4(6), 1–25. doi: [10.1186/s40649-017-0042-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40649-017-0042-6)
- Groshek, J., & Engelbert, J. (2013). Double differentiation in a cross-national comparison of populist political movements and online media uses in the United States and The Netherlands. *New Media & Society*, 15(2), 183–202.
- Gunnarsson Lorentzen, D. (2014). Polarisation in political Twitter conversations. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 66(3), 329–341.

- Gusterson, H. (2017). From Brexit to Trump: Anthropology and the rise of nationalist populism. *American Ethnologist*, 44(2), 209–214.
- Habermas, J. (2016). For A democratic polarisation: How to pull the ground from under Right-Wing populism. *Social Europe*. Retrieved from <https://www.socialeurope.eu/democratic-polarisation-pull-ground-right-wing-populism>
- Hallin, D. C. (1989). *The uncensored war: The media and Vietnam*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Hall, W., Tinati, R., & Jennings, W. (2018). From Brexit to Trump: Social media's role in democracy. *Computer*, 51(1), 18–27.
- Harris, L., & Harrigan, P. (2015). Social media in politics: The ultimate voter engagement tool or simply an echo chamber? *Journal of Political Marketing*, 14(3), 251–283. doi:[10.1080/15377857.2012.693059](https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2012.693059)
- Hay, C., & Smith, N. (2005). Horses for courses? The political discourse of globalisation and European integration in the UK and Ireland. *West European Politics*, 28(1), 124–158. doi:[10.1080/0140238042000297116](https://doi.org/10.1080/0140238042000297116)
- Hebdige, D. (2016). (i) From culture to hegemony; (ii) Subculture: The unnatural break. In M. G. Durham & D. M. Kellner (Eds.), *Media and culture studies: Keyworks* (pp. 144–162). Malden, MA; Oxford; Victoria, BC: Blackwell Publishing.
- Himelboim, I., Hansen, D., & Bowser, A. (2013). Playing in the same Twitter network: Political information seeking in the 2010 US gubernatorial elections. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(9), 1373–1396.
- Hobolt, S. B. (2016). The Brexit vote: A divided nation, a divided continent. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(9), 1259–1277.
- Höijer, B. (2010). Emotional anchoring and objectification in the media reporting on climate change. *Public Understanding of Science*, 19(6), 717–731.
- Höijer, B. (2011). Social representations theory: A new theory for media research. *Nordicom Review*, 32(2), 3–16.
- Hong, S., & Kim, S. H. (2016). Political polarization on Twitter: Implications for the use of social media in digital governments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(4), 777–782.

- Horan, T. J. (2013). 'Soft' versus 'hard' news on microblogging networks semantic analysis of Twitter produsage. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(1), 43–60.
- Howard, P. N., & Kollanyi, B. (2016). Bots, # StrongerIn, and# Brexit: Computational propaganda during the UK-EU referendum. *Comprop Research Note*.
- Huber, G. A., & Malhotra, N. (2017). Political homophily in social relationships: Evidence from online dating behavior. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(1), 269–283.
- Huberty, C. J. (2000). Judgement in quantitative research. *The Mathematics Educator*, 10(1), 5–10.
- Huckfeldt, R., Johnson, P. E., & Sprague, J. (2004). *Political disagreement : The survival of diverse opinions within communication networks*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Huckfeldt, R. R., & Sprague, J. (2006). *Citizens, politics and social communication: Information and influence in an election campaign*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Iakhnis, E., Rathbun, B., Reifler, J., & Scotto, T. J. (2018). Populist referendum: Was 'Brexit' an expression of nativist and anti-elitist sentiment? *Research and Politics*. doi:[10.1177/2053168018773964](https://doi.org/10.1177/2053168018773964)
- Ifukor, P. (2010). "Elections" or "selections"? Blogging and Twittering the Nigerian 2007 general elections. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 30(6), 398–414.
- Inglehart, R., & Norris, P. (2016). Trump, Brexit, and the rise of populism: Economic have-nots and cultural backlash. HKS Working Paper, No. RWP16-026. doi:[10.2139/ssrn.2818659](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2818659)
- Jackson, N., & Lilleker, D. (2011). Microblogging, constituency service and impression management: UK MPs and the use of Twitter. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 17(1), 86–105.
- Jaidka, K., Zhou, A., & Lelkes, Y. (2020). Brevity is the soul of Twitter: The constraint affordance and political discussion. *Journal of Communication*, 69(4), 345–372. doi:[10.1093/joc/jqz023](https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqz023)
- Jamieson, K. H., & Cappella, J. (2008). *Echo chamber: Rush Limbaugh and the conservative media establishment*. London: Oxford University Press.

- Kagarlitsky, B. (2017). Brexit and the future of the left. *Globalizations*, 14(1), 110–117. doi:[10.1080/14747731.2016.1228800](https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731.2016.1228800)
- Kaltwasser, C. R. (2012). The ambivalence of populism: Threat and corrective for democracy. *Democratization*, 19(2), 184–208. doi:[10.1080/13510347.2011.572619](https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2011.572619)
- Kang, S., Ha, J.-S., & Velasco, T. (2017). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on YouTube: Framing, anchoring, and objectification in social media. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 53(4), 445–451.
- Karlsen, R., & Enjolras, B. (2016). Styles of social media campaigning and influence in a hybrid political communication system: Linking candidate survey data with Twitter data. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 21(3), 338–357.
- Keller, F. B., Schoch, D., Stier, S., & Yang, J. (2020). Political astroturfing on Twitter: How to coordinate a disinformation campaign. *Political Communication*, 37(2), 256–280. doi:[10.1080/10584609.2019.166188](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2019.166188)
- Kelsey, D. (2016). Hero mythology and Right-Wing populism. *Journalism Studies*, 17(8), 971–988. doi:[10.1080/1461670X.2015.102357](https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2015.102357)
- Klinger, U. (2013). Mastering the art of social media: Swiss parties, the 2011 national election and digital challenges. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(5), 717–736.
- Klofstad, C. A., Sokhey, A. E., & McClurg, S. D. (2012). Disagreeing about disagreement: How conflict in social networks affects political behavior. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(1), 120–134.
- Klonick, K. (2019). Inside the team at Facebook that dealt with the Christchurch shooting. *The New Yorker*. Retrieved from <https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/inside-the-team-at-facebook-that-dealt-with-the-christchurch-shooting>
- Krämer, B. (2017). Populist online practices: The function of the Internet in Right-Wing populism. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(9), 1293–1309. doi:[10.1080/1369118X.2017.13285](https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2017.13285)
- Kreis, R. (2017). The “tweet politics” of President Trump. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 16(4), 607–618.
- Lachlan, K. A., & Levy, D. R. (2016). BIRGing, CORFing, and Twitter activity following a political referendum: Examining social media activity

- concerning the 2014 Scottish independence vote. *Communication Research Reports*, 33(3), 217–222.
- Larsson, A. O., & Ihlen, Ø. (2015). Birds of a feather flock together? Party leaders on Twitter during the 2013 Norwegian elections. *European Journal of Communication*, 30(6), 666–681.
- Larsson, A. O., & Moe, H. (2014). Triumph of the underdogs? Comparing Twitter use by political actors during two Norwegian election campaigns. *Sage Open*, 4(4).
- Lasorsa, D. (2012). Transparency and other journalistic norms on Twitter. *Journalism Studies*, 13(3), 402–417.
- Lawless, B., & Chen, Y.-W. (2019). Developing a method of critical thematic analysis for qualitative communication inquiry. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 30(1), 92–106. doi:[10.1080/10646175.2018.1439423](https://doi.org/10.1080/10646175.2018.1439423)
- Lewis, S. C., Zamith, R., & Hermida, A. (2013). Content analysis in an era of big data: A hybrid approach to computational and manual methods. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 57(1), 34–52.
- Lindgren, S., & Cocq, C. (2017). Turning the inside out: Social media and the broadcasting of indigenous discourse. *European Journal of Communication*, 32(2), 131–150.
- Linville, D. L., & Warren, P. L. (2020). Troll factories: Manufacturing specialized disinformation on Twitter. *Political Communication*. doi:[10.1080/10584609.2020.1718257](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1718257)
- Lischka, J. A. (2019). A badge of honor?. *Journalism Studies*, 20(2), 287–304. doi:[10.1080/1461670X.2017.1375385](https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2017.1375385)
- Llewellyn, C., & Cram, L. (2016). Brexit? Analyzing opinion on the UK-EU referendum within Twitter. Paper presented at the Tenth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media.
- Lopez, A. D., Cesar, J., Collignon-Delma, S., Benoit, K., & Matsuo, A. (2017). Predicting the Brexit vote by tracking and classifying public opinion using Twitter data. *Statistics, Politics and Policy*, 8(1), 85–104.
- Loughborough University. (2016). Media coverage of the EU Referendum (report 5).
- Lugg, A. N. (2013). Mantous and alpacas as weapons of the weak: Chinese spoof video and self-expression online. *First Monday*, 18(7). doi:[10.5210/fm.v18i7.3885](https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i7.3885)

- Lynch, P. (2010). Cameron, modernisation and conservative Britain. In S. Griffith & K. Hickson (Eds.), *British party politics and ideology after new labour* (pp. 119–134). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Manovich, L. (2009). The practice of everyday (media) life: From mass consumption to mass cultural production? *Critical Inquiry*, 35(2), 319–331.
- Margolin, D. B., Hannak, A., & Weber, I. (2018). Political fact-checking on Twitter: When do corrections have an effect? *Political Communication*, 35(2), 196–219. doi:[10.1080/10584609.2017.1334018](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2017.1334018)
- Mazzoleni, G. (2008). Populism and the media. In D. Albertazzi & D. McDonnell (Eds.), *Twenty-first century populism* (pp. 49–64). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda setting function of the mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176–187.
- McNair, B. (2004). PR must die: Spin, anti-spin and political public relations in the UK, 1997–2004. *Journalism Studies*, 5(3), 325–338.
- McPherson, J. M., & Smith-Lovin, L. (1987). Homophily in voluntary organizations: Status distance and the composition of face-to-face groups. *American Sociological Review*, 52(3), 370–379.
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Cook, J. M. (2001). Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27(1), 415–444.
- Meraz, S. (2009). Is there an elite hold? Traditional media to social media agenda setting influence in blog networks. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 14(3), 682–707.
- Meraz, S., & Papacharissi, Z. (2013). Networked gatekeeping and networked framing on# Egypt. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 18(2), 138–166.
- Messing, S., & Westwood, S. J. (2014). Selective exposure in the age of social media: Endorsements Trump partisan source affiliation when selecting news online. *Communication Research*, 41(8), 1042–1063.
- Milner, H. V. (2019). Globalisation, populism and the decline of the welfare state. *Survival: Global Politics and Strategy*, 61(2), 91–96. doi:[10.1080/00396338.2019.1589087](https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2019.1589087)
- Mondon, A. (2013). *The Mainstreaming of the extreme right in France and Australia: A populist Hegemony?* Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge.

- Moscovici, S. (2001). *Social representations: Explorations in social psychology*. Cambridge: New York University Press.
- Moscovici, S., & Markova, I. (1998). Presenting social representations: A conversation. *Culture & Psychology*, 4(3), 371–410.
- Mounk, Y. (2014). Pitchfork politics: The populist threat to liberal democracy. *Foreign Affairs*, 93(27), 27–36.
- Mutz, D. C. (2006). *Hearing the other side: Deliberative versus participatory democracy*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Öztürk, N., & Ayvaz, S. (2018). Sentiment analysis on Twitter: A text mining approach to the Syrian refugee crisis. *Telematics and Informatics*, 35(1), 136–147.
- Painter, A. (2013). Democratic stress, the populist signal and extremist threat. *Policy network*, 56.
- Papacharissi, Z., & Easton, E. (2013). In the habitus of the new. In J. Hartley, J. Burgess, & A. Bruns (Eds.), *A companion to new media dynamics* (Vols. 171–184). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the internet is hiding from you*. London: Viking.
- Park, C. S. (2013). Does Twitter motivate involvement in politics? Tweeting, opinion leadership, and political engagement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(4), 1641–1648.
- Payne, R. (2018). Brexit and the British media. *The Round Table: The Commonwealth Journal of International Affairs*, 107(1), 109–110. doi: [10.1080/00358533.2018.1424083](https://doi.org/10.1080/00358533.2018.1424083)
- Peters, M. A. (2018). The end of neoliberal globalisation and the rise of authoritarian populism. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 50(4), 323–325. doi: [10.1080/00131857.2017.1305720](https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2017.1305720)
- Pilipets, E., & Winter, R. (2017). Repeat, remediate, resist? Digital meme activism in the context of the refugee crisis. In J. Wimmer, C. Wallner, R. Winter, & K. Oelsner (Eds.), *(Mis) Understanding political participation: Digital practices, new forms of participation and the renewal of democracy*. London; New York, NY: Routledge.
- Pond, P., & Lewis, J. (2019). Riots and Twitter: Connective politics, social media and framing discourses in the digital public sphere. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(2), 213–231.

- Postill, J. (2018). Populism and social media: A global perspective. *Media, Culture & Society*, 40(5), 754–765.
- Rauchfleisch, A., & Metag, J. (2016). The special case of Switzerland: Swiss politicians on Twitter. *New Media & Society*, 18(10), 2413–2431. doi: [10.1177/1461444815586982](https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444815586982)
- Rehurek, R., & Sojka, P. (2010). Software framework for topic modelling with large corpora. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the LREC 2010 workshop on new challenges for NLP frameworks, Valletta, Malta. Retrieved from https://radimrehurek.com/gensim/lrec2010_final.pdf
- Reinemann, C., Aalberg, T., Esser, F., Strömbäck, J., & de Vreese, C. H. (2017). Populist political communication: Toward a model of its causes, forms, and effects. In T. Aalberg, F. Esser, C. Reinemann, J. Strömbäck, & C. H. de Vreese (Eds.), *Populist political communication in Europe* (pp. 12–25). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rooduijn, M. (2014). The mesmerising message: The diffusion of populism in public debates in Western European media. *Political Studies*, 62(4), 726–744.
- Sargent, L. T. (2009). *Contemporary political ideologies: A comparative analysis*. Belmont, CA: WADSWORTH Cengage Learning.
- Sayre, B., Bode, L., Shah, D., Wilcox, D., & Shah, C. (2010). Agenda setting in a digital age: Tracking attention to California proposition 8 in social media, online news, and conventional news. Article 2. *Policy & Internet*, 2(2). doi: [10.2202/1944-2866.1040](https://doi.org/10.2202/1944-2866.1040)
- Schreiber, M. (2017). Audiences, aesthetics and affordances analysing practices of visual communication on social media. *Digital Culture & Society*, 3(2), 143–164.
- Schulz, A., & Roessler, P. (2012). The spiral of silence and the Internet: Selection of online content and the perception of public opinion climate in computer-mediated communication environments. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 24(3), 346–367.
- Sears, D. O., & Freedman, J. L. (1967). Selective exposure to information: A critical review. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 31(2), 194–213.
- Seaton, J. (2016). Brexit and the media. *The Political Quarterly*, 87(3), 333–337.

- Smithson, A.-B., & Vraga, E. K. (2017). I beg to differ. In J. C. Baumgartner & T. L. Towner (Eds.), *The internet and the 2016 presidential campaign* (pp. 51–77). Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Sobkowicz, P., Kaschesky, M., & Bouchard, G. (2012). Opinion mining in social media: Modeling, simulating, and forecasting political opinions in the web. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(4), 470–479.
- Spiering, M. (2004). British Euroscepticism. In R. Harmsen & M. Spiering (Eds.), *Euroscepticism: Party politics, national identity and European integration* (pp. 127–149). Amersterdam; New York, NY: Rodopi.
- Startin, N. (2015). Have we reached a tipping point? The mainstreaming of Euroscepticism in the UK. *International Political Science Review*, 36(3), 311–323.
- Stier, S., Posch, L., Bleier, A., & Strohmaier, M. (2017). When populists become popular: Comparing Facebook use by the Right-Wing movement Pegida and German political parties. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(9), 1365–1388.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Republic.com 2.0*. New York, NY: Princeton UP.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2018). *# Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton, NJ; Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Taggart, P. (2004). Populism and representative politics in contemporary Europe. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 9(3). doi:[10.1080/1356931042000263528](https://doi.org/10.1080/1356931042000263528)
- Tantawi, Y., & Rosson, M. B. (2019). The paralinguistic function of emojis in Twitter communication. Social Media Corpora for the Humanities (p. 68).
- The BBC. (2016, April 13th, 2016). Lead EU referendum campaigns named. *The BBC*. Retrieved from <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-36038672>
- The Economist. (2020, June 4th, 2020). A tale of two social networks: Twitter and Facebook have differing business models. *The Economist*. Retrieved from <https://www.economist.com/business/2020/06/04/twitter-and-facebook-have-differing-business-models>
- Tong, J., & Zuo, L. (2017). Practising journalism on Twitter? A computational analysis of British journalists' use of Twitter before the 2016 EU referendum in the UK. In J. Tong & S.-h. Lo (Eds.), *Technology and journalism: From an international comparative perspective*. London: Palgrave.

- Tong, J., & Zuo, L. (2018). *Tweeting the environment #Brexit*. Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Tong, J., & Zuo, L. (2019). Othering the European Union through constructing moral panics over 'im/migrant(s)' in the coverage of migration in three British newspapers, 2011–2016. *International Communication Gazette*, 81(5), 445–469. doi:[10.1177/1748048518802237](https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048518802237)
- Trottier, D. (2012). Interpersonal surveillance on social media. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 37(2), 319–332.
- Tully, M., & Ekdale, B. (2014). Sites of playful engagement: Twitter hashtags as spaces of leisure and development in Kenya. *Information Technologies and International Development*, 10(3), 67–82.
- Uphama, P., Lis, A., Rieschc, H., & Stankiewiczd, P. (2015). Addressing social representations in socio-technical transitions with the case of shale gas. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 16, 120–141.
- Usherwood, S., & Wright, K. A. (2017). Sticks and stones: Comparing Twitter campaigning strategies in the European Union referendum. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*, 19(2), 371–388.
- Vaccari, C., Valeriani, A., Barberá, P., Jost, J. T., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. A. (2016). Of echo chambers and contrarian clubs: Exposure to political disagreement among German and Italian users of Twitter. *Social Media + Society*. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2056305116664221>
- Valenzuela, S., Correa, T., & Zúñiga, H. G. d. (2018). Ties, likes, and tweets: Using strong and weak ties to explain differences in protest participation across Facebook and Twitter use. *Political Communication*, 35(1), 117–134.
- Vasilopoulou, S. (2016). UK Euroscepticism and the Brexit referendum. *The Political Quarterly*, 87(2), 219–227.
- Veltri, G. A. (2013). Microblogging and nanotweets: Nanotechnology on Twitter. *Public Understanding of Science*, 22(7), 832–849.
- Veltri, G. A., & Atanasova, D. (2017). Climate change on Twitter: Content, media ecology and information sharing behaviour. *Public Understanding of Science*, 26(6), 721–737.
- Von Bogdandy, A., & Nettesheim, M. (1996). Ex pluribus unum: Fusion of the European Communities into the European Union. *European Law Journal*, 2(3), 267–289.

- Vreese, C. H. d., Esser, F., Aalberg, T., Reinemann, C., & Stanyer, J. (2018). Populism as an expression of political communication content and style: A new perspective. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 23(4), 423–438.
- Waisbord, S. (2003). Media populism: Neo-populism in Latin America. In G. Mazzoleni, J. Stewart, & B. Horsfield (Eds.), *The media and neo-populism: A contemporary comparative analysis* (pp. 197–217). Westport: Praeger Publishers.
- Weyland, K. (2013). Latin America's authoritarian drift: The threat from the populist left. *Journal of Democracy*, 24(3), 18–32.
- Williams, R. (2016). Base and superstructure in Marxist cultural theory. In M. G. Durham, & D. M. Kellner (Eds.), *Media and culture studies: Keyworks* (pp. 130–143). Malden, MA; Oxford; Victoria, BC: Blackwell Publishing.
- Wodak, R. (2009). *The discourse of politics in action*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wright, S., Graham, T., & Jackson, D. (2016). Third space, social media and everyday political talk. In A. Bruns, G. Enli, E. Skogerbo, A. O. Larsson, & C. Christensen (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to social media and politics* (pp. 74–88). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Yang, J. H., Barnidge, M., & Rojas, H. (2017). The politics of “Unfriending”: User filtration in response to political disagreement on social media. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 70, 22–29.
- Yilmaz, F. (2006). Ethnicized ontologies: From foreign worker to muslim immigrant: How Danish public discourse moved to the right through the question of immigration. PhD, UC San Diego. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/content/qt8fd0g7h7/qt8fd0g7h7.pdf>
- Zappavigna, M. (2015). Searchable talk: The linguistic functions of hashtags. *Social Semiotics*, 25(3). doi:[10.1080/10350330.10352014.10399694](https://doi.org/10.1080/10350330.10352014.10399694)
- Zappavigna, M., & Zhao, S. (2020). Selfies and recontextualization: Still life self-imaging in social media. In M. Miles & E. Welch (Eds.), *Photography and its publics*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Zhu, Q., Skoric, M., & Shen, F. (2017). I shield myself from thee: Selective avoidance on social media during political protests. *Political Communication*, 34(1), 112–131. doi:[10.1080/10584609.2016.1222471](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2016.1222471)
- Zulianello, M., Albertini, A., & Ceccobelli, D. (2018). A populist Zeitgeist? The communication strategies of Western and Latin American political leaders on Facebook. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 23(4), 439–457.